S&P Global
Ratings

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, Washington; Wholesale Electric

Primary Credit Analyst:
Paul J Dyson, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5079; paul.dyson@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:
Doug Snider, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4709; doug.snider@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Summary:

Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, Washington; Wholesale Electric

Credit Profile		
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist No. 2 WHLELC		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist No. 2 WHLELC		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 rev rfdg bnds (Non-Amt)		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 rev rfdg bnds (Direct Payment)		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 rev & rev rfdg bnds (Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Proj)		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 Priest Rapids Hydroelec Proj rev bnds (Priest Rapids Proj)		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 Priest Rapids Hydroelec Dev		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 (Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Dev)		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 (Wanapum Hydroelectric Dev)		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 2nd ser 2003 (Priest Rapids Hydroelec Dev)		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Grant Cnty Pub Util Dist #2 2nd ser 2003 (Wanapum Hydroelec Dev)		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on Grant County Public Utility District No. 2 (Grant PUD), Wash.'s previously issued revenue bonds issued for the Priest Rapids Project (PRP), and on Grant PUD's revenue bonds issued prior to 2010 separately for the Priest Rapids and Wanapum hydroelectric developments. The outlook is stable.

The ratings reflect our view of the PRP's:

- Unconditional power sales contracts between project owner Grant County PUD and multiple power purchasers for 100% of combined project output;
- Very low production costs at the consolidated PRP of $18.03 per megawatt-hour (MWh) in 2018, with the district anticipating an average of a very low $20 per MWh in fiscal years 2019 through 2023;
- Continued successful replacement of fish-friendly turbines and other measures that have resulted in reduced spill, enhanced project economics, and compliance with environmental regulations, with additional improvements ongoing;
- Generally solid operating performance, including a plant availability factor of 84% in 2017 (most recent data available); and
- Continued adequate financial performance, with power purchasers paying their share of project costs plus 15%, such that debt service coverage (DSC) is consistently about 1.15x.

Partly offsetting the above strengths, in our view, are Grant PUD's:

- High capital requirements associated mostly with turbine and generator restoration and powerhouse improvements, but also with regulatory compliance and license implementation, which could put pressure on the long-term competitiveness of the project's production costs, although management expects per unit costs to remain well below Bonneville's firm priority rate over the next five years; and
- High project debt burden, with debt to capitalization of 80%, although management anticipates a reduction in borrowing costs given that it will fund a sizable portion ($182 million, or 45%) of the five-year PRP capital improvement plan (CIP) of $401 million with equity contributions directly from the electric system.

The business profile score is '3' on a 10-point scale, with '1' being the strongest. The business profile reflects our view of the PRP's extremely competitive cost of power, moderate operating risks, good economics of service territories, and strong management practices and policies.

Bonds issued in 2010 or later are secured by a lien on the net revenue of the consolidated PRP project. Bond provisions include a 1.15x rate covenant on both parity and junior-lien debt, a 1.15x additional bonds test, and a debt service reserve fund capitalized at maximum annual interest. Parity bonds issued prior to 2010 for each of the two hydro developments are also payable from net revenue of the combined PRP, pursuant to the resolution and prior resolutions. In 2010, the district consolidated the two developments into one system, PRP. This consolidation had been planned since the late 1990s and simplifies administration and financing, provides cost savings, and signifies that power purchasers consider the combined developments a single project. In addition, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission provided a single license that covers both projects.

Pursuant to the bond resolution, the revenue of both developments has been pledged for debt service on the bonds and all parity bonds; the revenue of the combined developments has been pledged for operations and maintenance, capital, and other obligations of both developments. Revenue consists of all revenue received from the PRP, including that received under power sales contracts and payments from the electric system. The electric system (AA+/Stable) is obligated, whether or not the PRP is producing power or capable of doing so, to pay all project costs, including its share of debt service, not otherwise paid by other purchasers, and this obligation is payable as an operating expense prior to electric system direct debt service. The electric system covenants to set rates as it deems necessary to make

such payments.

PRP consists of two hydroelectric generating facilities. The Priest Rapids development is a hydroelectric generating facility located downstream from the Wanapum project on the Columbia River in Grant and Yakima counties. The generating plant has a nameplate capacity of 953 MW and began operations in 1961. The Wanapum development is a hydroelectric generating facility located approximately 18 miles upstream of the Priest Rapids development on the Columbia River in Washington. The generating plant has a nameplate capacity of 1,204 MW and began commercial operation in 1963. The project is owned and operated by Grant PUD, a vertically integrated public utility that operates its two utility systems as independent business units: the electric system and PRP.

All power purchasers are either public or investor-owned utilities in the Pacific Northwest, and we believe that they are extremely unlikely to default on their payment obligations to the project given that the project represents one of the lowest-cost power resources in any of their supply portfolios. New power sales contracts took effect in 2005 and 2009 for the Priest Rapids and Wanapum developments, respectively, and will extend to the expiration of the recently renewed 44-year license for the project (April 1, 2052). The new contracts are similar to the prior contracts in that they are take-or-pay. Grant PUD's allocation of project power from PRP has been modified under the new contracts so that Grant PUD has the ability to take a maximum of 93.3% of firm PRP power to meet its load (63.3% physical and 30.0% financial through the reasonable portion/estimated unmet district load). The Grant PUD electric system's share of project costs in 2018 was 81%.

Prior to 2010, the bonds whose proceeds secured each development relied on the net revenue from a single asset, but under the consolidated project this concentration risk is reduced. Even prior to consolidation, single-asset risk was mitigated in several ways. Each development has 10 turbines that have typically achieved availability factors of around 80% to 90%, with generally a maximum of one turbine or generator undergoing replacement or maintenance at any given time. Also, the supply contracts require power purchasers to satisfy the cost of power production, irrespective of the amount of power produced, thus producing consistent annual DSC of around 1.15x (when including excess funds available in the supplemental repair and renewal fund). Under average water assumptions, the district could have as many as four of 20 units out of service without any material effect on generation. We do note, however, that net PRP generation did decline 13% in 2014 mostly as a result of the dam fracture, as hydro runoff was similar to 2013 levels. Production rebounded to normal levels once the repair was complete.

The project exhibits very strong credit fundamentals primarily because of its very low cost of production (with hydro essentially being cost-free fuel) and its ability to reassign or remarket power from any defaulting power purchaser. It sells power through newly revised power sales contracts to 18 public and private utilities in the Pacific Northwest, including the district's electric system. Even with a 36% spike in the cost of power per MWh to $21.61 in 2014 from $15.89 in 2013 given the reduction of generation related to the fracture, the project's cost of power was still 31% below the comparable Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) preference rate at the time. The production cost declined to $16.14 in 2016, giving PRP a 50% cost advantage, but rose slightly to $18.03 per MWh as of 2018. Nevertheless, we assume the district would likely easily remarket any available power in the event of a default by a power purchaser, mitigating the lack of step-up provisions. This favorable cost differential of PRP versus market-based power has been stable since about 2008, although the cost of power from PRP was even more competitive prior to 2008 (at just

one-third of prevailing market prices in 2006, for example).

Production costs per unit are more favorable in very wet years. The cost of power was $18.97 during a dry calendar 2008, when stream flows were 81% of normal, but fell to near $15 per MWh in 2011 and 2012, when stream flows were about 20% above average. Stream flows can also vary considerably from year to year based on spill requirements and events such as the recent discovery of a fracture at the Wanapum Dam, which forced Grant PUD to lower the water elevation behind the dam. Stream flows were 103% of average in both 2013 and 2014, 96% in fiscal years 2015 and 2016, 126% in 2017, and 111% in 2018.

Other regulatory and environmental risks and uncertainties persist, but are dramatically reduced given the 44-year renewal of the district's Federal Energy Regulatory Commission license for the project in 2008. Nevertheless, the district must comply with state and federal regulations regarding dam operations and protection of endangered fish species. The district projects that production costs will remain competitive for the foreseeable future at less than $21 per MWh through 2023 under average water conditions because of regulatory and capital requirements. Fish habitat mitigation requirements, which include dam spill requirements, result in additional operating costs and loss of revenue.

Capital requirements remain relatively high at the PRP: The five-year capital budget calls for $401 million in capital expenditures from 2019 to 2023 for generator restorations, license implementation, powerhouse and general facility improvements, and miscellaneous projects. Management expects approximately 55% of capital needs to be funded by debt, with 45% from equity. The Wanapum development turbine replacement work has been completed and the Priest Rapids development also began replacing its turbines with more efficient and more fish-friendly advanced turbines. The district projects that the replacement of all Priest Rapids development turbines and generators will be complete by 2026 and anticipates that the useful life of the new equipment will be 50 years. The new generators will also have a nameplate rating of 128.6 megavolt-amperes, an increase of 18%. Management anticipates that the overall PRP capital program will begin to decline in the next five years or so, as the majority of significant projects will be completed by then. Contrary to historical patterns, capital investments will be heavily (45%) equity financed given strong operating margins and reserves within the retail electric system. These will be treated as internal loans.

Power purchasers are billed monthly for their respective shares of project operating costs plus 115% of debt service, resulting in consistent DSC of around 1.15x. The project's financial performance is generally stable, and margins are slim because the project operates on a cost basis. Debt leverage at the project level is high, in our view, at approximately 80% debt to total capital as of Dec. 31, 2018. Combined annual debt service requirements for the project have more than doubled to $101 million in 2018 from $41 million in 2006.

Liquidity has historically been adequate at the PRP because of the PRP's ability to bill power purchasers monthly for their respective shares of project operating costs plus 115% of debt service. Most operating cash is held at the electric system level. PRP cash and investments totaled $10.5 million (87 days' cash) in 2017, but declined to $1.0 million in 2018. According to the district, unrestricted cash at PRP can fluctuate as a result of the timing of the power sales contracts.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our anticipation that long-term contracts through 2052 will continue to provide a stable revenue stream. Strong project economics provide credit stability and override credit risks regarding regulation, environmental mandates, and member credit quality. We anticipate that the project will remain competitive with other generators or resource options in the region despite the high capital investment required to comply with fish species protection requirements and other improvements.

Upside scenario

Given high project debt and capital needs as well as management's projection of rising per unit power costs, we do not anticipate raising the ratings during the next two years. Also limiting rating upside is our expectation that DSC is unlikely to materially improve.

Downside scenario

We do not anticipate lowering the ratings over the next two years given the competitive cost of power, but could do so in the unlikely event that costs become no longer competitive on a sustained basis, or if other operating risks arise.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.